

Editorial

Biotechnology for poultry health, food safety and sustainable production

S. M. Lutful Kabir* 

Department of Microbiology and Hygiene, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author: S. M. Lutful Kabir, Department of Microbiology and Hygiene, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh. E-mail: lkabir79@bau.edu.bd

Received: 13 August 2026/Accepted: 17 September 2026/Published: 22 September 2026

Copyright © 2026 S. M. Lutful Kabir. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Poultry production is one of the fastest-growing components of the livestock sector and an important source of affordable animal protein, employment and rural livelihoods (Mottet and Tempio, 2017; Osei-Akoto *et al.*, 2026). However, its expansion has been accompanied by infectious diseases, antimicrobial resistance, chemical residues, foodborne pathogens, environmental stress and climate-related risks (Sharma *et al.*, 2025). These challenges are particularly relevant in developing poultry-producing countries such as Bangladesh, where intensive and small-scale production systems coexist with variable levels of biosecurity, veterinary services, processing infrastructure and food-safety enforcement (Hennessey *et al.*, 2021). Improving productivity therefore requires simultaneous attention to poultry health, food safety, environmental sustainability and economic feasibility.

Biotechnology offers a growing range of tools to address these interconnected challenges. Its applications have expanded from poultry genetics, vaccines, diagnostics and feed technology to molecular diagnostics, genomics, microbiome manipulation, probiotics, bacteriophages, recombinant vaccines, phytobiotics, synbiotics and precision microbial interventions (Kabir and Islam, 2021; Abdelaziz *et al.*, 2024). However, biotechnology should complement rather than replace good husbandry, biosecurity, veterinary services and food-safety governance within a One Health framework (Garcia *et al.*, 2020). Poultry health is closely linked to the safety and quality of poultry products. Infectious diseases can reduce productivity, compromise welfare, increase antimicrobial use and facilitate contamination during slaughter and processing. Avian colibacillosis and salmonellosis are particularly important because of their economic and public-health implications (Kabir, 2010). Colibacillosis may also reduce egg production, linking disease control with productivity and food availability (Kabir *et al.*, 2017). Studies in Bangladesh have documented poultry diseases in Gazipur (Hassan *et al.*, 2016), *Salmonella* spp. in broiler farms (Mridha *et al.*, 2020), and *Clostridium perfringens* in small-scale commercial broiler flocks in Mymensingh (Tresha *et al.*, 2021).

Disease prevention must therefore begin at farm level. Codes of practice, vaccination, sanitation, appropriate stocking density, clean water, quality feed, pest control, all-in/all-out management and effective biosecurity remain fundamental (Akhter *et al.*, 2018). Biotechnology should strengthen these measures rather than substitute for them. Antimicrobial resistance and chemical residues further demonstrate the need for biotechnology-based prevention. Multidrug-resistant *Campylobacter* spp. and residual antimicrobials have been reported at poultry farms and live bird markets in Bangladesh, while colistin residues have been detected in broilers at different growth stages (Bristy *et al.*, 2019; Neogi *et al.*, 2020). Heavy metals and antibiotic residues have also been reported in poultry feed and meat, and chromium exposure has raised additional risk-assessment concerns

(Islam *et al.*, 2016; Alam *et al.*, 2021). Molecular detection of resistance genes, genomic characterization of pathogens, improved vaccines and non-antibiotic interventions can support more responsible antimicrobial use (Ahmed *et al.*, 2024).

Probiotics are among the most practically relevant biotechnology-based interventions. They can influence intestinal microbial balance, nutrient utilization, gut morphology, immunity and poultry performance. Their potential in poultry production has been extensively reviewed, including effects on broiler meat quality (Kabir, 2009a; Kabir, 2009b). Locally adapted strains are particularly important. *Lactobacillus* species isolated from poultry sources have been characterized for probiotic properties, while molecular identification of probiotic bacteria from broilers and associated environments has demonstrated the value of strain-level characterization (Kabir *et al.*, 2016; Ferdous *et al.*, 2020). *Bacillus*-based probiotics are especially promising because their spore-forming characteristics can improve stability during processing and storage. Beneficial effects on growth performance, gut morphology, intestinal microbiota and immune response have been reported in broilers maintained under low-biosecurity conditions (Arif *et al.*, 2021). Beyond conventional probiotics, prebiotics can selectively stimulate beneficial microorganisms, while combinations of probiotics, prebiotics and plant-derived compounds are being explored for poultry productivity and meat quality (Ricke, 2018; Dong *et al.*, 2024). Phytobiotics may also influence growth, gut microbiota, antioxidant activity and immunity (Urban *et al.*, 2025). Next-generation probiotics, including engineered microbial strains and synbiotic systems, represent a further development (Al-Surrayai *et al.*, 2026). Integrating direct-fed microbials with poultry genomics could support more precise antibiotic-free production systems (Hassan *et al.*, 2026). Nevertheless, engineered microorganisms require careful assessment of biosafety, genetic stability, ecological effects, regulatory compliance and consumer acceptance (Wei and Stewart, 2023). Bacteriophages provide another promising platform for controlling poultry pathogens. These bacterial viruses can selectively target susceptible bacteria and may reduce pathogens while causing less disruption to the broader microbiota. Applications against *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter* and pathogenic *E. coli* have received increasing attention (Abd-El Wahab *et al.*, 2023). Group II *Campylobacter* phages, for example, may help reduce contamination across the poultry food chain (Rafiq *et al.*, 2026). However, host specificity, phage resistance, environmental stability, delivery, regulation and variable efficacy remain challenges. Phage cocktails and combinations with probiotics or other biocontrol agents may improve effectiveness (Karamlou *et al.*, 2025).

Vaccination remains central to disease prevention and antimicrobial reduction. Biotechnology has advanced vaccine development through recombinant antigens, reverse genetics, molecular pathogen characterization, novel adjuvants and improved delivery systems. Recent developments demonstrate opportunities to improve vaccine development, stability and delivery (Abdelaziz *et al.*, 2024). Molecular surveillance can further support vaccine selection by identifying circulating strains and antigenic variation. In developing countries, stable formulations and practical delivery systems are particularly important where cold-chain infrastructure and technical capacity may be limited (Rukundo *et al.*, 2026). Molecular biotechnology can also transform poultry disease surveillance. PCR, multiplex PCR, sequencing and related technologies can provide rapid and accurate pathogen detection and characterization. Molecular characterization has already demonstrated value for poultry-associated probiotic organisms (Kabir *et al.*, 2016; Ferdous *et al.*, 2020). Similar approaches can be applied to *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*, pathogenic *E. coli* and other foodborne organisms to identify pathogens, virulence factors and antimicrobial-resistance characteristics (Batista *et al.*, 2022). Future surveillance should connect samples from farms, feed, water, litter, slaughterhouses, processing facilities, markets and food products. Such integrated molecular epidemiology could identify points where pathogens enter, persist or amplify throughout the poultry food chain.

Food safety is fundamentally a farm-to-fork responsibility. Contamination can occur during production, transportation, slaughter, processing, storage, marketing and preparation. Meat and meat-product safety has previously been investigated in Bangladesh (Murshed *et al.*, 2016), while contamination of ready-to-cook frozen chicken products in Mymensingh demonstrates the importance of surveillance beyond the farm (Arafat *et al.*, 2025). Farm-level disease control therefore cannot alone guarantee food safety at consumption. Food handlers and poultry vendors are also important components of the food-safety system. Knowledge, attitudes and practices among poultry vendors in traditional markets can influence food safety (Islam *et al.*, 2026). Biotechnology-based surveillance should consequently be supported by education, hygienic handling, cold-chain management and regulatory oversight. Egg safety requires similar attention. Egg quality and safety depend on shell integrity, microbial contamination, storage and processing. Advances in egg-white biotechnology and microbiology provide opportunities to better understand and improve egg quality and functionality (Mannott *et al.*, 2026).

Feed is another critical pathway for food-safety hazards. Contaminated feed can expose poultry to microorganisms, mycotoxins, heavy metals and antimicrobial residues. Reports of heavy metals and antibiotic residues in poultry feed and meat in Bangladesh reinforce the importance of feed-quality surveillance (Alam *et al.*, 2021), while chromium exposure has also been investigated in broiler production (Islam *et al.*, 2016). Fermentation, enzyme supplementation, microbial detoxification and functional feed additives could improve feed safety and intestinal health. Economic feasibility remains essential for technology adoption. The production cost and marketing of probiotics strongly influence their accessibility to farmers (Kabir *et al.*, 2023). Technologies that are scientifically effective but expensive, unstable or poorly adapted to local production systems are unlikely to achieve widespread impact. This consideration is particularly important in Bangladesh, where modern commercial farms coexist with numerous small-scale producers.

Climate change adds another dimension to poultry health and food safety through heat stress, changing pathogen ecology, water scarcity, feed-quality risks and agricultural supply-chain disruptions (Kabir, 2026). Climate-resilient poultry production will require improved disease surveillance, field-adapted vaccines, robust feed and water management, microbiome-based approaches and genetic strategies for resilience. These interconnected pressures reinforce the importance of developing poultry biotechnology within a One Health framework. The greatest future opportunity is therefore the integration of multiple technologies rather than dependence on any single intervention. A coordinated system could combine genomic surveillance, rapid molecular diagnostics, precision vaccination, next-generation probiotics, bacteriophages, phytobiotics, functional feed additives and digital traceability (Sahoo *et al.*, 2022). Molecular surveillance could identify emerging pathogens or resistance patterns; genomic information could guide vaccine and phage selection; microbiome analysis could identify opportunities for probiotic intervention; and food-chain surveillance could assess whether interventions actually reduce contamination (Muteeb *et al.*, 2025).

This integrated approach reflects the broader potential of biotechnology in poultry farming and the need for comprehensive strategies addressing poultry health, food safety and food security (Ricke, 2021; Kabir and Islam, 2021; Chowdhury *et al.*, 2023). Nevertheless, biotechnology cannot independently resolve the sector's challenges. Regulation, farmer education, veterinary services, laboratory capacity and enforcement remain equally important. Veterinary professionals have a key role in connecting animal health with food safety and public health (Rahman and Kabir, 2013). Food-safety governance in Bangladesh has developed considerably, but continued strengthening of legislation, monitoring and enforcement is necessary (Rahman *et al.*, 2014). Biotechnology should therefore be incorporated into national surveillance and food-safety strategies rather than developed only as commercial products. Research institutions, government agencies, industry and farmers should collaborate to establish validated diagnostics, monitor antimicrobial resistance and residues, and develop locally appropriate biological products. Such cooperation is consistent with the broader need for innovation and collaboration in biological and medical research (Kabir, 2024).

The poultry sector must increasingly balance productivity with disease prevention, food safety, antimicrobial stewardship, animal welfare and environmental resilience. Priorities include molecular surveillance, locally adapted probiotics and bacteriophages, improved vaccines, feed and residue monitoring, genomics–microbiome integration, and farm-to-retail food-safety surveillance. Biotechnology should complement, not replace, biosecurity and good farming practices; vaccination cannot compensate for poor biosecurity, probiotics cannot correct contaminated feed or water, and bacteriophages cannot replace hygiene. Rather, biotechnology should strengthen sound poultry management. An integrated approach combining healthy birds, safe feed, responsible antimicrobial use, biotechnology-based prevention, hygienic processing and effective food-safety governance can support more sustainable poultry production in Bangladesh and the wider Asian-Australasian region. The ultimate goal is healthier birds, safer food, lower antimicrobial dependence and greater resilience through evidence-based, economically feasible and locally appropriate biotechnology applications.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges the Department of Microbiology and Hygiene, Bangladesh Agricultural University, for providing academic and logistical support.

Funding information

Not applicable.

Data availability statement

Not applicable.

Generative AI statement

No generative AI tools were used in the preparation, writing, or revision of this editorial.

Author's contribution

Conceptualization, formal analysis, writing-original draft preparation, review and editing: S. M. Lutful Kabir. The author has read and approved the final version of the published editorial.

Conflict of interest

None to declare.

Publisher's note

Ebu Press Ltd remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Abd-El Wahab A, S Basiouni, HR El-Seedi, MFE Ahmed, LR Bielke, B Hargis, G Tellez-Isaias, W Eisenreich, H Lehnher, S Kittler, AA Shehata and C Visscher, 2023. An overview of the use of bacteriophages in the poultry industry: successes, challenges, and possibilities for overcoming breakdowns. *Front. Microbiol.*, 14: 1136638.
- Abdelaziz K, YA Helmy, A Yitbarek, DC Hodgins, TA Sharafeldin and MSH Selim, 2024. Advances in poultry vaccines: leveraging biotechnology for improving vaccine development, stability, and delivery. *Vaccines*, 12: 134.
- Ahmed SK, S Hussein, K Qurbani, RH Ibrahim, A Fareeq, KA Mahmood and MG Mohamed, 2024. Antimicrobial resistance: impacts, challenges, and future prospects. *J. Med. Surg. Public Health*, 2: 100081.
- Akhter AHMT, SS Islam, MA Sufian, M Hossain, SMM Rahman, SML Kabir, MG Uddin, SM Hossin and MM Hossain, 2018. Implementation of code of practices (CoP) in selected poultry farms of Bangladesh. *Asian Australas. J. Food Saf. Secur.*, 2: 45-55.
- Alam MM, DL Mallick, MM Ahsan, AHMT Akhter, Eftesum, F Rahman, SML Kabir and AA Rahman, 2021. Heavy metal contamination and antibiotic residues in poultry feed and meat in Bangladesh. *Asian Australas. J. Food Saf. Secur.*, 5: 71-78.
- Al-Surrayai T, S Shreaz and H Al-Khalaifah, 2026. Next-generation probiotics in poultry: from conventional strains to engineered and synbiotic approaches. *Microorganisms*, 14: 1680.
- Arafat A, M Abdulla-Al-Masud, MA Rahman, MT Islam, MR Momen, M Arif, MT Rahman and SML Kabir, 2025. Microbial profile of chicken-based ready-to-cook frozen foods sold in Mymensingh city, Bangladesh. *Asian Australas. J. Food Saf. Secur.*, 9: 47-56.
- Arif M, M Akteruzzaman, TA Ferdous, SS Islam, BC Das, MP Siddique and SML Kabir, 2021. Dietary supplementation of *Bacillus*-based probiotics on the growth performance, gut morphology, intestinal microbiota and immune response in low biosecurity broiler chickens. *Veterinary and Animal Science*, 14: 100216.
- Batista R, M Saraiva, T Lopes, L Silveira, A Coelho, R Furtado, R Castro, CB Correia, D Rodrigues, P Henriques, S Lóio, V Soeiro, PM Da Costa, M Oleastro and A Pista, 2022. Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., and *Campylobacter* spp., in free-living birds in mainland Portugal. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 20: 223.
- Bristy NI, S Das, ZA Noman, J Ferdous, S Sachi, SML Kabir and MH Sikder, 2019. Colistin residue in broiler: detection in different growth stages. *Asian Australas. J. Food Saf. Secur.*, 3: 43-47.
- Chowdhury MAH, M Ashrafudoulla, SIU Mevo, MFR Mizan, SH Park and S Ha, 2023. Current and future interventions for improving poultry health and poultry food safety and security: a comprehensive review. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, 22: 1555-1596.
- Dong S, L Li, F Hao, Z Fang, R Zhong, J Wu and X Fang, 2024. Improving quality of poultry and its meat products with probiotics, prebiotics, and phytoextracts. *Poult. Sci.*, 103: 103287.
- Ferdous TA, MSR Khan and SML Kabir, 2020. Isolation, identification and molecular detection of selected probiotic bacteria from broiler chickens and their related environment. *Asian J. Med. Biol. Res.*, 6: 383-399.
- Garcia SN, BI Osburn and MT Jay-Russell, 2020. One health for food safety, food security, and sustainable food production. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*, 4: 1.

- Hassan ASI, AR Henawy, YA Saied, KA Garas, OM Shahat and AA Halema, 2026. Direct-fed microbials (DFM) and poultry genomics: a synergistic approach to sustainable antibiotic free farming. *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins*, 18: 1682-1702.
- Hassan MK, MH Kabir, M Abdullah-Al-Hasan, S Sultana, MSI Khokon and SML Kabir, 2016. Prevalence of poultry diseases in Gazipur district of Bangladesh. *Asian J. Med. Biol. Res.*, 2: 107-112.
- Hennessey M, G Fournié, MA Hoque, PK Biswas, P Alarcon, A Ebata, R Mahmud, M Hasan and T Barnett, 2021. Intensification of fragility: Poultry production and distribution in Bangladesh and its implications for disease risk. *Prev. Vet. Med.*, 191: 105367.
- Islam MM, SML Kabir, YA Sarker, MMH Sikder, SS Islam, AHMT Akhter and MM Hossain, 2016. Risk assessment of chromium levels in broiler feeds and meats from selected farms of Bangladesh. *Bangl. J. Vet. Med.*, 14: 131-134.
- Islam MR, N Islam, MA Nobil, AKMZ Haque, SS Islam, AKMA Rahman, MFR Khan and SML Kabir, 2026. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of poultry vendors toward food safety in traditional markets in Mymensingh, Bangladesh: implications for One Health and public health interventions. *Int. J. One Health*, 12: 85-105.
- Islam MS, MM Hasan and SML Kabir, 2025. Sustaining the boom: the critical role of safe and sustainable technology in Bangladesh's broiler industry. *Asian Australas. J. Food Saf. Secur.*, 9: 31-46.
- Kabir SML and SS Islam, 2021. Biotechnological applications in poultry farming. In: *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews 54*. Edited by: Yata VK, AK Mohanty and E Lichtfouse. pp. 233-271. Springer, Cham.
- Kabir SML, 2009a. Effect of probiotics on broiler meat quality. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 8: 3623-3627.
- Kabir SML, 2009b. The role of probiotics in the poultry industry. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 10: 3531-3546.
- Kabir SML, 2010. Avian colibacillosis and salmonellosis: a closer look at epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, control and public health concerns. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 7: 89-114.
- Kabir SML, 2024. Advancing medical and biological research: a call for innovation and collaboration. *Asian J. Med. Biol. Res.*, 10: 67-70.
- Kabir SML, 2025. Dietary probiotics in poultry: a game-changer for growth, immunity, and microbiota balance. *Asian J. Med. Biol. Res.*, 11: 1-4.
- Kabir SML, 2026. Climate change and its impact on animal health and food safety. *Asian Australas. J. Food Saf. Secur.*, 10: 51-55.
- Kabir SML, MH Sikder, J Alam, SB Neogi and S Yamasaki, 2017. Colibacillosis and its impact on egg production. In: *Egg Innovations and Strategies for Improvements*. Edited by: Hester P. pp. 523-535. Oxford: Academic Press.
- Kabir SML, SMM Rahman, SB Neogi, MM Rahman and MSR Khan, 2016. Isolation, identification, molecular characterization and screening of probiotic activities of *Lactobacillus* species from poultry sources at live bird markets in Mymensingh, Bangladesh. *Asian Australas. J. Biosci. Biotechnol.*, 1: 54-65.
- Kabir SML, SS Islam, TA Ferdous and AHMT Akhter, 2023. Production, cost analysis, and marketing of probiotics. In: *Food Microbiology Based Entrepreneurship*. Edited by: Amaresan N, D Dharumadurai and OO Babalola. pp. 305-326. Springer, Singapore.
- Karamlou S, E Aghajani, M Familsamavati, NF Azad, S Arayesh and Z Mohsenipour, 2025. Bacterial resistance to phage therapy: mechanisms and strategies to overcome it. *Curr. Mol. Pharmacol.*, 18: 108-122.
- Mannott I, R Bosse and M Gibis, 2026. Recent advances in egg white biotechnology, microbiology, and quality. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, 25: e70495.
- Mottet A and G Tempio, 2017. Global poultry production: current state and future outlook and challenges. *World's Poult. Sci. J.*, 73: 245-256.
- Mridha D, MN Uddin, B Alam, AHMT Akhter, SS Islam, MS Islam, MSR Khan and SML Kabir, 2020. Identification and characterization of *Salmonella* spp. from samples of broiler farms in selected districts of Bangladesh. *Vet. World*, 13: 275-283.
- Murshed HM, M Al-Amin, SML Kabir, SME Rahman and Deog-Hwan Oh, 2016. Quality and safety of meat and meat products available in Mymensingh, Bangladesh. *Journal of Meat Science and Technology*, 4: 62-70.
- Muteeb G, RNA Kazi, M Aatif, A Azhar, ME Oirdi and M Farhan, 2025. Antimicrobial resistance: linking molecular mechanisms to public health impact. *SLAS Discov.*, 33: 100232.
- Neogi SB, MM Islam, SS Islam, AHMT Akhter, MMH Sikder, S Yamasaki and SML Kabir, 2020. Risk of multi-drug resistant *Campylobacter* spp. and residual antimicrobials at poultry farms and live bird markets in Bangladesh. *BMC Infect. Dis.*, 20: 278.

- Osei-Akoto A, AAA Abdel-Wareth, M Salahuddin, PK Goswami and J Lohakare, 2026. Sustainable poultry production through novel nutrition and circular resource management. *Sustainability*, 18: 3673.
- Rafiq MS, SS Haider, Z Li, M Sarmad, D Ma, MA Khan, J Wang, S Gu, P Tao, MT Khan and H Hao, 2026. The role of *Campylobacter* group II phages in mitigating *Campylobacter* contamination across the poultry food chain: current applications and future prospects. *Food Res. Int.*, 237: 119365.
- Rahman MM and SML Kabir, 2013. Veterinary education on fostering food safety and governance achieving a healthy nation in Bangladesh. *Veterinary Science Development*, 3: 16-23.
- Rahman MM, SML Kabir, MM Khatun, MH Rahman and NP Ansari, 2014. Past, present and future driving force in the enforcement and management of food safety law in Bangladesh. *Health, Safety and Environment*, 2: 103-122.
- Ricke SC, 2018. Impact of prebiotics on poultry production and food safety. *Yale J. Biol. Med.*, 91: 151-159.
- Ricke SC, 2021. Strategies to improve poultry food safety, a landscape review. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.*, 9: 379-400.
- Rukundo G, M Moore, H Semukunzi, S Chatterjee, JP Musabyimana, CM Muvunyi and CA Green, 2026. Vaccine cold chain and understanding what underpins vaccine security for vaccine preventable diseases. *BMJ Med.*, 5: e001835.
- Sahoo M, C Panigrahi and P Aradwad, 2022. Management strategies emphasizing advanced food processing approaches to mitigate food borne zoonotic pathogens in food system. *Food Front.*, 3: 641-665.
- Sharma S, S Kaur, M Naguib, A Bragg, A Schneider, RR Kulkarni, A Nazmi and K Abdelaziz, 2025. Major foodborne bacterial pathogens in poultry: implications for human health and the poultry industry and probiotic mitigation strategies. *Microorganisms*, 13: 2363.
- Tresha AO, M Arif, SS Islam, AKMZ Haque, MT Rahman and SML Kabir, 2021. Investigation of *Clostridium perfringens* in small-scale commercial broiler flocks in Mymensingh district of Bangladesh. *Vet. World*, 14: 2809-2816.
- Urban J, KY Kareem, A Matuszewski, D Bień, P Ciborowska, K Lutostański and M Michalczyk, 2025. Enhancing broiler chicken health and performance: the impact of phytobiotics on growth, gut microbiota, antioxidants, and immunity. *Phytochem. Rev.*, 24: 2131-2145.
- Wei W and CN Stewart, 2023. Biosafety and ecological assessment of genetically engineered and edited crops. *Plants*, 12: 2551.
