## Asian-Australasian Journal of Bioscience and Biotechnology

ISSN 2414-1283 (Print) 2414-6293 (Online) www.ebupress.com/journal/aajbb

Article

# Yield and yield contributing attributes of rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) under different planting dates in *boro* season

Naznin Ahmed, Kamal Uddin Ahamed and Md. Saidur Rahman\*

Department of Agricultural Botany, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence author: Department of Agricultural Botany, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh. Phone: +8801733141979; E-mail: saidur34@gmail.com

Received: 31 July 2018/Accepted: 20 August 2018/ Published: 30 August 2018

**Abstract:** The experiment was conducted in the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207 to find out the yield and yield contributing attributes of rice varieties under different planting times. The experiment comprised of two factors- factor a: Planting time (2):  $T_1$ : 24<sup>th</sup> January planting;  $T_2$ : 23<sup>th</sup> February planting and factor b: Rice variety (5):  $V_1$ : BRRI dhan29;  $V_2$ : BRRI hybrid 2;  $V_3$ : Hera 2;  $V_4$ : Tia and  $V_5$ : Taj 1. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. Among the different planting attributes of rice varieties. Irrespective of planting times, the highest panicle length (24.64 cm), number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>(13.33), filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (83.17), 1000-grain weight (25.14 g), grain yield (4.30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (5.21 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), biological yield (9.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (45.89%) were achieved. However, Hera 2 provided the highest grain yield (4.64 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 24<sup>th</sup> January planting compared to other combination. It meant that Hera 2 performed well with 24<sup>th</sup> January planting.

Keywords: rice; planting date; hybrid; yield

#### **1. Introduction**

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the staple food for at least 62.8% of total planet inhabitants and it contributes on an average 20% of apparent calorie intake of the world and 30% of Asian populations (Hien *et al.*, 2006). In Asia, more than 90% of this rice is consumed (IRRI, 2013). The population of Bangladesh is increasing at an alarming rate and the cultivable land is decreasing due to urbanization and industrialization resulting in the shortage of food. The nation is still adding about 2.3 million every year to its total of 150 million people (Momin and Husain, 2009). Population growth required a continuous increase in rice production in Bangladesh. So, the highest priority has been given to produce more rice. Rice yields are either stagnating/declining in post-green revolution era mainly due to late or early planting, imbalance use of fertilizer, irrigation and weeding schedule, type of cropping system practiced, lack of suitable rice genotypes for low moisture adaptability and disease resistance (Prakash, 2010).

Planting time for successful rice production widely depends on varietal life duration, sensitivity to photoperiod, temperature, rainfall and other environmental factors. In Bangladesh, planting of boro rice starts in early November and continues up to last May. Such longer period of planting time is associated with inconsistent rainfall, late harvesting of preceding crops, early flood water and other socioeconomic factors (Zaman, 1986). It is assumed that late planting reduces vegetative phase which results from reduced growth and yield of rice (Jhoun, 1989). On the contrary, early planted rice sometimes lodges due to over growth or other natural hazards prevailing in the long growing season. Gangwar and Sharma (1997) also observed a higher number of panicles in early transplanting than in late transplanting. This was due to the fact that rice genotypes planted earlier had the longer period for their vegetative growth compared to those sown later. It is, therefore, essential to generate adequate information relating to planting time to exploit better growth and productivity. Planting time affects

Asian Australas. J. Biosci. Biotechnol. 2018, 3 (2)

seed quality through affecting seed growth and development as it prevails through different environmental conditions in the processes of seed development and seed maturation (Castillo *et al.*, 1994).

Variety is the key component to produce the higher yield of rice depending upon their differences in genotypic characters, input requirements and of course the prevailing environmental conditions during the growing season (BRRI, 2003). Now a day's different hybrid rice variety is available in Bangladesh which has more yield potential than conventional high yielding varieties (Akbar, 2004). Hybrid rice has high tillering capacity (Zhende, 1988). Hossain and Deb (2003) reported that although farmers got about 16% yield advantage in the cultivation of hybrids compared to the popularly grown inbred varieties, the yield gains were not stable. On the other hand, compared with conventional cultivars, the hybrids had larger panicles, heavier seeds, resulting in an average grain yield increase of 7.27% (Bhuiyan *et al.*, 2014). This variety, however needs further evaluation under the different adaptive condition to interact with different environmental conditions.

Considering the above-mentioned facts and based on the prior observation, an investigation was undertaken to evaluate the yield and yield contributing attributes of the some selected rice varieties at two different planting dates.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Experimental site

The experiment was conducted at the Research Farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University (SAU), Dhaka and it was located in 24.090 N latitude and 90.260 E longitudes.

## 2.2. Climate and soil

The climate of the experimental site is sub-tropical, wet and humid. Heavy rainfall occurs in the monsoon (mid-April to mid-August) and scanty during rest of the year. The soil of the experimental area was silty clay in texture. Soil pH was 6.7 and has organic carbon 0.45%.

## 2.3. Experimental treatment and design

Different rice varieties were used as the test crop in this experiment. The experiment comprised two factors. Factor a: planting time (2):  $T_1$ : 24<sup>th</sup> January planting;  $T_2$ : 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting and Factor b: Rice variety (5):  $V_1$ : BRRI dhan29;  $V_2$ : BRRI hybrid 2;  $V_3$ : Hera 2;  $V_4$ : Tia and  $V_5$ : Taj 1. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. There were 10 plots of 5 m<sup>2</sup> in size in each of 3 replications resulting 30 plots in total. The distance maintained between two blocks and two plots were 1.0 m and 0.5 m, respectively.

## 2.4. Crop husbandry

The seeds were sown in the seedbed @ 70 gm-2 in order to have healthy seedlings. The fertilizers N, P, K, S, Zn and B in the form of urea, TSP, MoP, Gypsum, zinc sulphate and borax, respectively were applied @ 80 kg, 60 kg, 90 kg, 12 kg, 2.0 kg and 10 kg (BRRI, 2013). The entire amount of TSP, MoP, gypsum, zinc sulphate and borax were applied during the final preparation of experimental plot. Urea was applied in two equal installments as top dressing at tillering and panicle initiation stages. Two seedlings (21 days) were transplanted in each hill with a plant to plant distance 15 cm and row to row distance 20 cm. Intercultural operations were done to ensure normal growth of the crop. Plant protection measures were followed as and when necessary.

## 2.5. Data collection

Ten pre-selected hills per plot from which different data were collected. Data on the following parameters were recorded during the course of the experiment such as - panicle length (cm), effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>, filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, 1000-grain weight (g), grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>), biological yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index (%).

The biological yield was calculated with the following formula:

Biological yield = Grain yield + Straw yield.

Harvest index was calculated from the grain and straw yield of rice for each plot and expressed in percentage:

 $\times 100$ 

Harvest index (HI) =

Biological yield

Economic yield

#### 2.6. Statistical package

All the collected data were tabulated and analyzed statistically using analysis of variance technique and subsequently, Least Significance Difference (LSD at 5%) for comparing the treatment means, by MSTAT-C software (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

#### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Panicle length

Panicle length showed statistically significant differences due to different planting dates (Figure 1). The longer panicle (23.43 cm) was recorded from  $24^{\text{th}}$  January planting and the shorter panicle (22.52) was found from  $23^{\text{rd}}$  February planting. Reduction in panicle length in delayed transplanting from the early one may be due to lack of full photosynthesis during its growing period, the inability of roots to absorb minerals from soil (Kushwaha *et al.* 2016). Khalifa (2009) found that early date of sowing ( $20^{\text{th}}$  April) is the best time of sowing for panicle length (cm), and sowing in  $1^{\text{st}}$  June has given the lowest value.

Statistically, significant variation was recorded in terms of panicle length due to different rice varieties (Figure 2). The longest panicle (24.64 cm) was observed from Heera 2, while the shortest panicle (20.47 cm) was recorded from BRRI dhan29. Wang *et al.* (2006) reported that compared with conventional cultivars, the hybrids had larger panicles. Idris and Matin (1990) conducted an experiment with six varieties and observed that panicle length differed among varieties and it was greater in IR 20 than in indigenous and high yielding varieties.

Interaction effect of different planting dates and rice varieties showed statistically significant differences on panicle length (Figure 3). The longest panicle (25.23 cm) was recorded from treatment combination of 24<sup>th</sup> January planting with Heera 2 and the shortest panicle (20.26 cm) was found from treatment combination of 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting with BRRI dhan29.

#### **3.2.** Effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>

Effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> showed statistically significant differences due to different planting dates (Table 1). The maximum number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (13.47) was found from 24<sup>th</sup> January planting and the minimum number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (11.40) was observed from 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting. This result reveals the findings of Hussain *et al.* (2005) and Shah (2001) who reported that the maximum number of panicle was produced by line transplanted method in early transplanting. This might be due to adaptation with climate, well-adopted root system and well-adopted leaf structure and canopy having optimum light absorption, nutrients uptake and synthesis of more carbohydrates.

Statistically, significant variation was recorded in terms of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> due to different rice varieties (Table 1). The maximum number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>(13.33) was recorded from Heera 2, while the minimum number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (10.50) was found from BRRI dhan29. Khalifa (2009) reported that  $H_1$  hybrid rice variety surpassed other varieties in consideration of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>. Murthy *et al.* (2004) recorded a different number of filled spikelets for the different variety.

Interaction effect of different planting dates and rice varieties showed statistically significant differences on effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). The maximum number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (14.67) was recorded from treatment combination of  $24^{th}$  January planting with Heera 2 and the minimum number of effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup> (10.00) was observed from treatment combination of  $23^{rd}$  February planting with BRRI dhan29.

## **3.3.** Filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>

Filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> showed statistically significant differences due to different planting dates (Table 1). The maximum filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>(80.73) was recorded from 24<sup>th</sup> January planting and the minimum filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>(74.20) was found from 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting. These results resemble the findings of Akram *et al.* (2007) who reported that the number of kernels panicle<sup>-1</sup>was significantly affected as sowing date is delayed. Khalifa (2009) found that early date of sowing (20<sup>th</sup> April) is the best time of sowing for the number of grains per panicle and sowing in 1<sup>st</sup> June has given the lowest value.

Statistically, significant variation was recorded in terms of filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> due to different rice varieties (Table 1). The highest filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>(83.17) was observed from Heera 2, while the lowest filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>(63.00) was recorded from BRRI dhan29. Obulamma *et al.* (2004) recorded the highest number of filled grain panicle<sup>-1</sup> in hybrid APHR 2 than hybrid DRRH 1. Hosain *et al.* (2014) observed that hybrid rice varieties Heera 2 and Aloron produced the highest number of spikelets panicle<sup>-1</sup> than that of BRRI dhan48.

Interaction effect of different planting dates and rice varieties showed statistically significant differences on filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>(Table 2). The highest filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (90.33) was recorded from treatment

#### Asian Australas. J. Biosci. Biotechnol. 2018, 3 (2)

combination of 24<sup>th</sup> January planting with Heera 2 and the lowest filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (61.33) was found from treatment combination of 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting with BRRI dhan29.

#### 3.4. Weight of 1000-grain

The weight of 1000-grain showed statistically significant differences due to different planting dates (Table 1). The maximum weight of 1000-grain (23.71 g) was recorded from 24<sup>th</sup> January planting and the minimum weight of 1000-grain (22.74 g) was found from 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting. The heavier grains with earlier planting might be due to prolong growing and grain filling period which enable the plant to produce bold and plump grains. These results are in conformity with the findings of Rizzardi *et al.* (1994) who reported the reduction in 1000-grain weight with the delay in sowing date. Khalifa (2009) found that early date of sowing (20<sup>th</sup> April) is the best time of sowing for 1000 grain weight (g) and sowing in 1<sup>st</sup> June has given the lowest value.

Statistically, significant variation was recorded in terms of weight of 1000-grain due to different rice varieties (Table 1). The highest weight of 1000-grain (25.14 g) was observed from Heera 2, while the lowest weight of 1000-grain (20.62 g) was recorded from BRRI dhan29. Wang *et al.* (2006) reported that compared with conventional cultivars, the hybrids had heavier seeds. Supporting results were found by Rahman *et al.* (2002), and Singh and Gongwer (1989).

Interaction effect of different planting dates and rice varieties showed statistically significant differences in weight of 1000-grain (Table 2). The highest weight of 1000-grain (25.76 g) was recorded from treatment combination of 24<sup>th</sup> January planting with Heera 2and the lowest weight of 1000-grain (20.37 g) was found from treatment combination of 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting with BRRI dhan29.

## 3.5. Grain yield

Grain yield showed statistically significant differences due to different planting dates (Table 1). The maximum grain yield (4.00 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed from  $24^{th}$  January planting and the minimum grain yield (3.53 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from  $23^{rd}$  February planting. These results support the findings of Shah and Bhurer (2005) also reported that June 15 seeding recorded significantly the highest paddy yield and decreased with the delay in planting time. In the same way, Iqbal *et al.* (2008) reported that the highest yield was obtained when the rice crop was sown earlier in the season.

Statistically, significant variation was recorded in terms of grain yield due to different rice varieties (Table 1). The highest grain yield (4.30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found on Heera 2, while the lowest grain yield (2.95 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed from BRRI dhan29. These results agree with the results of Wang *et al.* (2006) reported that compared with conventional cultivars, the hybrids had larger panicles, heavier seeds, resulting in an average yield increase of 7.27%. Kanfany *et al.* (2014) reported that the grain yield of rice hybrids (bred by the International Rice Research Institute) was not significantly higher than that of the check cultivar. Swain *et al.* (2006) reported that the control cultivar IR64, with high translocation efficiency and 1000-grain weight and lowest spikelet sterility, recorded a grain yield of 5.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> that was statistically similar to the hybrid line PA6201. Xie *et al.* (2007) reported a different yield for the different variety.

Interaction effect of different planting dates and rice varieties showed statistically significant differences on grain yield (Table 2). The highest grain yield (4.64 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from treatment combination of  $24^{\text{th}}$  January planting with Heera 2 and the lowest grain yield (2.83 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from treatment combination of  $23^{\text{rd}}$  February planting with BRRI dhan.

#### 3.6. Straw yield

Straw yield showed statistically significant differences due to different planting dates (Table 1). The maximum straw yield (4.86 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found from 24<sup>th</sup> January planting, whereas the minimum straw yield (3.67 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from  $23^{rd}$  February planting. Jalil *et al.* (2016) found that transplanting dates induced significant straw yield variation in the varieties. The results reveal that straw yield was maximum on  $30^{th}$  July transplantation and thereafter straw yield gradually declined with the subsequent delay in planting until last transplantation on  $15^{th}$  September.

Statistically, significant variation was recorded in terms of straw yield due to different rice varieties (Table 1). The highest straw yield  $(5.21 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$  was observed from Tia which was statistically similar  $(5.06 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$  to Heera 2, while the lowest straw yield  $(4.06 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$  was recorded from BRRI dhan29. Patel (2000) observed significantly higher grain and straw yield from Kranti than IR36. Jalil *et al.* (2016) found that high straw yield in the hybrid variety compared to the inbred could be attributed to its long-lasting (till maturity) greenness in leaves which might favor the high accumulation of dry matter through photosynthesis till harvesting.

Interaction effect of different planting dates and rice varieties showed statistically significant differences on straw yield (Table 2). The highest straw yield (5.42 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from treatment combination of 24<sup>th</sup> January planting with Tia and the lowest straw yield (4.05 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found from treatment combination of 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting with BRRI dhan29.

#### 3.7. Biological yield

Biological yield showed statistically significant differences due to different planting dates (Table 1). The maximum biological yield (8.86 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from 24<sup>th</sup> January planting and the minimum biological yield (8.20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found from 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting. Hosain *et al.* (2014) showed that biological yield shows a decreasing trend with delayed transplanting.

Statistically, significant variation was recorded in terms of biological yield due to different rice varieties (Table 1). The highest biological yield (9.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed from Tia which was statistically similar (9.35 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) to Heera 2, while the lowest biological yield (7.01 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from BRRI dhan29. Kainth and Mehra (1985) reported that when transplanting is delayed beyond the normal period, the brain development is very poor which results in more quantity of underdeveloped grains and ultimately severe reduction in yield.

Interaction effect of different planting dates and rice varieties showed statistically significant differences on biological yield (Table 2). The highest biological yield (9.79 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from treatment combination of 24<sup>th</sup> January planting with Tia and the lowest biological yield (6.88 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found from treatment combination of 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting with BRRI dhan29.

#### 3.8. Harvest index

Harvest index showed statistically significant differences due to different planting dates (Table 1). The maximum harvest index (44.99%) was recorded from  $24^{th}$  January planting, whereas the minimum harvest index (42.94%) was observed from  $23^{rd}$  February planting. Jalil *et al.* (2016) found that high HI was recorded from the transplanting on July 30 and the lowest from September 15. This might correspond to high grain yield from former planting and low grain yield from later planting

Statistically, significant variation was recorded in terms of harvest index due to different rice varieties (Table 1). The highest harvest index (45.89%) was observed from Heera 2, while the lowest harvest index (42.09%) was found from BRRI dhan29. The result reported by Karmakar *et al.* (2002) was similar to the present findings.

Interaction effect of different planting dates and rice varieties showed statistically significant differences on harvest index (Table 1). The highest harvest index (48.38%) was observed from treatment combination of 24<sup>th</sup> January planting with Heera 2 and the lowest harvest index (41.14%) was recorded from treatment combination of 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting with BRRI dhan29.

Treatment	Panicle length (cm)	Effective tillers hill <sup>-1</sup> (No.)	Filled grains panicle <sup>-1</sup> (No.)	Weight of 1000- grain (g)	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological Yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
Planting time								
24 <sup>th</sup> January planting	23.43 a	13.47 a	80.73 a	23.71 a	4.00 a	4.86 a	8.86 a	44.99 a
23 <sup>rd</sup> February planting	22.52 b	11.40 b	74.20 b	22.74 b	3.53 b	3.67 b	8.20 b	42.94 b
LSD(0.05)	0.56	0.30	2.52	0.61	0.15	0.18	0.24	1.48
CV(%)	5.84	3.43	4.64	5.73	5.55	4.97	3.72	4.39
Variety								
BRRI dhan29	20.47 c	10.50 c	63.00 b	20.62 d	2.95 d	4.06 d	7.01 d	42.09 c
BRRI hybrid 2	23.21 ab	12.50 b	79.00 a	23.73 b	3.51 c	4.69 c	8.20 c	42.84 bc
Heera 2	24.64 a	13.33 a	83.17 a	25.14 a	4.30 a	5.06 ab	9.35 a	45.89 a
Tia	23.53 ab	13.00 ab	81.67 a	24.06 b	4.21 a	5.21 a	9.43 a	44.71 ab
Taj 1	23.02 b	12.83 ab	80.50 a	22.56 c	3.84 b	4.81 bc	8.65 b	44.29 abc
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	1.12	0.52	4.36	1.05	0.25	0.29	0.39	2.34
CV(%)	5.84	3.43	4.64	5.73	5.55	4.97	3.72	4.39

In a column means having the similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having the dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly at 0.05 level of probability

5.84

3.43

Treatment	Panicle length (cm)	Effective tillers hill <sup>-1</sup> (No.)	Filled grains panicle <sup>-1</sup> (No.)	Weight of 1000-grain (g)	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
24 <sup>th</sup> January plan	nting x							
BRRI dhan29	20.67 d	11.00 d	64.67 d	20.88 c	3.07 ef	4.07 f	7.14 ef	43.05 bc
BRRI hybrid 2	22.85 c	13.33 b	82.00 bc	23.38 b	3.53 d	4.50 de	8.03 cd	43.89 bc
Heera 2	25.23 a	14.67 a	90.33 a	25.76 a	4.64 a	4.95 bc	9.59 ab	48.38 a
Tia	23.92 bc	14.00 ab	85.00 ab	24.48 ab	4.37 ab	5.42 a	9.79 a	44.64 bc
Taj 1	24.49 ab	14.33 a	81.67 bc	24.03 b	4.38 ab	5.35 ab	9.73 a	45.00 b
23 <sup>rd</sup> February pla	nting x							
BRRI dhan29	20.26 d	10.00 e	61.33 d	20.37 c	2.83 f	4.05 f	6.88 f	41.14 c
BRRI hybrid 2	23.58 bc	11.67 cd	76.00 c	24.08 b	3.50 d	4.87 cd	8.37 c	41.79 bc
Heera 2	24.06 ab	12.00 c	76.00 c	24.53 ab	3.95 c	5.16 abc	9.11 b	43.39 bc
Tia	23.14 b	12.00 c	78.33 bc	23.64 b	4.05 bc	5.01 abc	9.06 b	44.78 bc
Taj 1	21.54 cd	11.33 cd	79.33 bc	21.08 c	3.29 de	4.27 ef	7.56 de	43.57 bc
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	1.83	0.73	6.17	1.49	0.36	0.41	0.55	3.314

Table 2. Interaction effect of planting dates and variety on yield and yield contributing characters of rice.

In a column means having the similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having the dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly at 0.05 level of probability

5.73

5.55

4.97

3.72

4.39

4.64

#### 4. Conclusions

CV(%)

From the above summary of the study, it can be concluded that among the five rice varieties, Heera 2 demonstrated the best performance on yield and yield contributing attributes at different planting dates. The 24<sup>th</sup> January planting of seedling increased the panicle length, effective tillers hill<sup>-1</sup>, filled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, 1000-grain weight, grain yield, straw yield, biological yield and harvest index (%). were 4.04%, 18.16%, 8.80%, 4.27%, 13.31%, 32.43%, 8.05% and 4.77%, respectively over the 23<sup>rd</sup> February planting. Finally, 24<sup>th</sup> January transplanting with Heera 2 exhibited the superior combinations than most the parameters studied. However, further study may be needed regarding the other rice varieties with different management practices in different Agro-Ecological Zones (AEZ) of Bangladesh for testing the regional compliance and other quality attributes.

#### **Conflict of interest**

None to declare.

#### References

- Akbar MK, 2004. Response of hybrid and inbred rice varieties to different seedlings ages under system of rice intensification in transplant aman season. M.S. (Ag.) Thesis, Department Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural university, Mymensingh.
- Akram HM, A Ali, MA Nadeem and S Iqbal, 2007. Yield and yield components of rice varieties as affected by transplanting dates. J. Agric. Res., 45: 105-111.
- Bhuiyan MSH, A Zahan, H Khatun, M Iqbal, F Alam and MR Manir, 2014. Yield performance of newly developed test crossed hybrid rice variety. Intl. J. Agron. Agril. Res., 5: 48-54.
- BRRI (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute), 2003. Annual Internal Review for 2003. Grain Quality and Nutrition Division. Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur, Bangladesh.
- BRRI (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute), 2013. AdhunikDhanerChash (in bengali). Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur.
- Castillo AG, JG Hampton and P Coolbear, 1994. Effect of sowing dates and harvest timing on seed vigor in garden pea (*Pisumsativum* L.). Newzealand J. Crop Hort. Sci., 22: 91-95.
- Gangwar KS and SK Sharma, 1997. Influence of planting dates on productivity of traditional scented rice varieties. IRRN, 22: 42.
- Gomez KA and Gomez AA, 1984. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. International Rice Research Institute. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA.
- Hien NL, T Yoshihashi and WA Sarhadi, 2006. Evaluation of aroma in rice using KOH method, molecular markers and measurement of 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline concentration. Japanese J. Trop. Agric., 50: 190-198.

- Hosain MT, KU Ahamed, MM Haque, MM Islam, ASM Fazle Bari and JA Mahmud, 2014. Performance of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties at different transplanting dates in *aus*season. App. Sci. Report, 5: 1-4.
- Hossain M and UK Deb, 2003. Liberalization of rice sector: Can Bangladesh withstand regional competition? Poster paper presented at PETRRA communication fair 2003 held at Hotel Sheraton, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Hussain S, M Ramzan, M Aslam, Z Manzoor and ME Safdar, 2005. Effect of various stand establishment methods on yield and yield components of rice. In Proceedings of International Seminar on Rice Crop, Rice Research Institute, Kala Shah Kaku, Lahore, Punjab-Pakistan.
- Idris M and MA Matin, 1990. Response of four exotic strains of *aman* rice to urea. Bangladesh J. Agric. Assoc. China, 118: 48-61.
- Iqbal S, A Ahmad, AHussain, MA Ali, T Khaliq and SA Wajid, 2008. Influence of transplanting date and nitrogen management on productivity of paddy cultivars under variable environments. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 10: 288-292.
- IRRI (International Rice Research Institute), 2013. Trend in global rice consumption. Rice Today, 12: 44-45.
- Jalil MA, IJ Shelley, MHR Pramanik and MA Karim, 2016. Effect of transplanting times on pollen and spikelet sterility, growth and yield of *aman* rice. Progres. Agric., 27: 400-408.
- Jhoun HRK, 1989. Effect of time of planting and age of seedling on growth and yield of dwarf rice. Indian J. Agron., 34: 325-327.
- Kainth GS and PL Mehra, 1985. Rice production-potential and constraints. Inter-India Publications, New Delhi, India.
- Kanfany G, R El-Namaky, K Ndiaye, K Traore and R Ortiz, 2014. Assessment of rice inbred lines and hybrids under low fertilizer levels in Senegal. Sustainability, 6: 1153-1162.
- Karmakar B, MAR Sarkar, MR Uddin and M Biswas, 2002. Effect of row arrangements, number of seedlings per hill and nitrogen rates on yield and yield components of late transplant aman rice. Bangladesh J. Agril. Sci., 29: 275-281.
- Khalifa AABA, 2009. Physiological evaluation of some hybrid rice varieties under different sowing dates. Australian J. Crop. Sci., 3: 178-183.
- Kushwaha UKS, SP Khatiwada and HK Upreti, 2016. Delayed transplanting of aged rice seedlings causes the yield reduction in farmer's field. Genom. Appl. Biol., 7: 1-9.
- Momin SI and M Husain, 2009. Technology development and dissemination to augment rice production in Bangladesh. *In*: The Guardian.
- Murthy KNK, V Shankaranarayana, K Murali and BV Jayakumar, 2004. Effect of different dates of planting on spikelet sterility in rice genotypes (*Oryza sativa* L.). Res. Crops., 5: 143-147.
- Obulamma U, MR Reddy and CR Kumari, 2004. Effect of spacing and number of seedlings per hill on yield attributes and yields of hybrid rice. Madras Agric. J., 91: 344-347.
- Patel JR, 2000. Effect of water regime, variety and blue green algae on rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian J. Agron., 45: 103-106.
- Prakash NB, 2010. Different sources of silicon for rice farming in Karnataka. Paper presented in Indo-US workshop on silicon in agriculture, held at University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, India.
- Rahman MA, SMA Hossain, NAR Sarkar, MS Hossain and MS Islam, 2002. Effect of variety and structural arrangement of rows on the yield and yield components of transplant aman rice. Bangladesh J. Agril. Sci., 29: 303-307.
- Rizzardi MA, D Witech and I Deggerone, 1994. Grain yield and yield components of maize cultivars at two sowing dates. Ciencia Rural., 24: 477-482.
- Shah LM and KP Bhurer, 2005. Response of wet seeded rice varieties to sowing dates. Nepal Agric. Res. J., 6: 35-38.
- Shah ML and R Yadav, 2001. Response of rice varieties to age of seedlings and transplanting dates. Nepal Agric. Res. J., 4&5: 14-17.
- Singh S and B Gongwer, 1989. Comparative studies on production potentials in traditional tall and improved rice cultivars. J. Andaman Sci. Assoc., 5: 81-82.
- Swain P, P Annie and KS Rao, 2006. Evaluation of rice (*Oryza sativa*) hybrids in terms of growth and physiological parameters and their relationship with yield under transplanted condition. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 76: 496-499.
- Wang JL, ZJ Xu and XZ Yi, 2006. Effects of seedling quantity and row spacing on the yields and yield components of hybrid and conventional rice in northern China. Chinese J. Rice Sci., 20: 631-637.
- Xie W, G Wang and Q Zhang, 2007. Potential production simulation and optimal nutrient management of two hybrid rice varieties in Jinhua, Zhejiang Province. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci., 8: 486–492.

Zhende Y, 1988. Agronomic management of rice hybrids compared with conventional varieties. International Rice research Institute, Manila, Philippines.